Ageing and the relation of aggression, altruism and assertiveness scales to the Eysenck Personality Questionnaire
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Summary—Relationships among age, aggressive, assertive and altruistic tendencies are reported with the dimensions of the Eysenck Personality Questionnaire for 573 pairs of adult twins. Aggressiveness is found to be positively related to psychopathology, both of which are negatively related to indices of prosocial behavior, including the Lie scale. Assertiveness and most measures of altruism are linked to extraversion, while empathy is linked to neuroticism. Prosocial tendencies increase with age whereas antisocial and extraverted tendencies decrease.

INTRODUCTION

A recent study of altruism and aggression in 573 pairs of adult twins found that approx. 50% of the variance on each of five questionnaires was associated with genetic effects, virtually 0% with the twin’s common environment, and the remaining 50% with each twin’s specific environment and/or error associated with the test (Rushton, Fulker, Neale, Nias and Eysenck, 1986). While much evidence has accumulated to show that virtually all personality traits are about 50% heritable and that almost all the environmental variance is accounted for by within-family factors, with between-family factors playing little or no part (Eaves and Eysenck, 1972; Goldsmith, 1983; Loehlin and Nichols, 1976; Plomin and Daniels, 1987), it is of interest to find similar results for altruism and aggression because these are characteristics that parents might have been expected to socialize heavily. These findings on environmental effects contradict most of the current theories of personality which make between-family factors responsible for most of the observed phenotypic differences between people.

Several researchers are exploring the extent to which a broad continuum exists from prosocial to antisocial behavior, with individual differences linked at the behavioral level to criminality, intelligence, and sexuality, at the physiological level to arousal and to neurotransgenetic functioning, and at the ultimate level to modern gene-based evolutionary theorizing concerning reproductive strategies. For example, analyses have documented negative relationships between prosocial and antisocial behavior (Eron, 1987; Rushton, 1989), between antisocial behavior and age of onset of sexual intercourse (Ellis, 1980; Jessor, Costa, Jessor and Donovan, 1985), between each of these and somatic and psychological and somatic and psychological factors (Ellis, 1980, 1987; Zuckerman, 1984), and between all the above and gene-based sexual strategies (Rushton, 1985). Here we report the relationship between components of altruism and aggression with those from the Eysenck Personality Questionnaire. In previous research, aggressiveness has been found to correlate significantly positively with Psychoticism and Neuroticism, significantly negatively with the Lie scale, and zero with Extraversion, while assertiveness has been found to correlate positively with Extraversion and negatively with Psychoticism and Neuroticism (Hernandez and Mauger, 1980).

Another focus of interest is age changes in personality. As Eysenck (1988) has pointed out, much of the work on life-span development has dealt with cognitive variables although personality changes have also been found. In particular from age 16 to 60, scores on P, E and N all decline while those on L go up (Eysenck and Eysenck, 1975). Eysenck (1989) discusses the implications of these findings for aging. Thus, since E predisposes to good mood and happiness, the decline with age means that as people get older they will generally experience less positive affect. On the other hand, since there is also a decline in N and P, which are significantly related to negative mood states, older people will experience less negative affect. It would appear that the affective turbulence of youth is replaced by the relative calm of old age. Similarly, Rushton et al. (1986) found prosocial tendencies to increase with age and aggressive ones to decline. We re-inspect these findings and their interrelationships here.

METHOD

The data collected from 573 twin pairs from the University of London Institute of Psychiatry Twin Register, details of which are provided in Rushton et al. (1986), were re-examined. The twins ranged in age from 19 to over 60 with a mean of 30. About 70% of the sample were women. The twins came primarily from middle-class families but represented most geographical areas of the U.K.

In addition to the Eysenck Personality Questionnaire, five paper-and-pencil measures assessing altruism, empathy, nurturance, aggressiveness, and assertiveness had been completed. The first of these was the Self-Report Altruism Scale requiring respondents to report the frequency with which they had engaged in 20 specific behaviors such as 'I have given directions to a stranger', and 'I have donated blood' (Rushton, Chrisjohn and Fekken, 1981). The scale...
RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

Means, standard deviations, and internal consistencies were all comparable to published norms. The correlations among the variables are shown in Table 1 for Ns of between 647 and 1148, depending on missing data. The results replicate Hernandez and Mauger’s (1980) findings that aggressiveness correlates positively with Psychoticism and Neuroticism and negatively with the Lie scale (although in our sample aggressiveness was positively rather than zero related to Extraversion, and that assertiveness correlates positively with Extraversion and negatively with Neuroticism (although in our sample assertiveness was related positively rather than negatively to Psychoticism). Other interesting findings to be noted include the relationship between empathy and neuroticism (+0.26), perhaps indicating the role of emotion in social sensitivity. Also that between altruism and assertiveness (+0.30) even though assertiveness is itself related to aggressiveness (+0.26).

Perhaps the most clear cut findings are that Psychoticism correlates positively with aggressiveness and negatively with prosocial behavior and that both ends of this continuum co-vary in opposite ways with age. In general, in accord with common observation, it would seem that the affective turbulence of youth is replaced by the relative calm of age. This conclusion is directly supported by data from research on “affect intensity”, which is distinctly found to decrease with age (Diener, Sandvik and Larsen, 1985). Although not presented here, many of the age differences are paralleled by sex differences, with males being more aggressive and less altruistic than females (Rushion et al., 1986). It is also noteworthy that similar behavioral variables show the same relationships with age and sex, including criminality (Eysenck and Gudjonsson, 1988), sexuality (Eysenck, 1976), and components of research creativity (Rushlon, 1988). Since individual differences in these have been linked to variation in P, E and N it may be that underlying changes in hormonal mechanisms mediate them all. If so, age changes could become a crucial factor in understanding the nature and structure of personality.
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